

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/711,644	09/29/2004	Wei Lu	FIS920040037US1	5643
29371	7590 12/07/2005	•	EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN LLP			YANTORNO, JENNIFER M	
	ROAD SOUTH D, CT 06002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
	<b>-</b> , -:		2881	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,644	LU, WEI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer Yantorno	2881	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication. Itory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M II, by statute, cause the application to become	VICATION.  /a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on		
2a) This action is FINAL.	)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the ap	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 November 2	2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objecti	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to	·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to I	by the Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	s. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received ir	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage	
application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies n	ot received.	
•			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	—	lo(s)/Mail Date  of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pi Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/04.	6) Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/711,644

Art Unit: 2881

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8-13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. (US 6,646,259 B2).

Regarding claims 1, 2, and 9, '259 teaches a method for preparing a specimen for application of microanalysis thereto comprising forming an initial conductive layer over an area of interest, removing a volume of material surrounding the area of interest by forming a pair of trenches in a bulk material shave the area of interest formed thereon, thereby forming a membrane including the area of interest sand the initial conductive layer over the area interest, and removing the membrane form the bulk material (Col. 3, II. 23-33, Col. 4, II. 7-17). '259 teaches that the method of forming the conductive layer is physical vapor deposition, not low-energy electron beam deposition, but it is notoriously known that these two application methods are analogous in the art.

Regarding claims 3 and 11, '259 teaches that the conductive later is made of platinum (Col. 3, II. 26-30).

Regarding claims 4 and 12, '259 teaches that the conductive layer is formed at a thickness of up to 20 nm (Col 3, II. 41-43).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,644

Art Unit: 2881

Regarding claims 5 and 13, '259 teaches that the conductive layer is form over an area of about 1 micron by about 10 microns (Col 4, Il. 7-12).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, '259 teaches removing a volume of material surrounding the area of interest is implemented by FIB milling (Col. 4, II. 7-12)

Regarding claim 10, '259 teaches that the microanalysis comprises tunneling electron microscopy (Col. 4, II. 7-12).

Claims 6, 7, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. (US 6,646,259 B2), in view of Engelmann et al. (US 6,303,399 B1).

Regarding claims 6, 7, 14, and 15, the aforementioned prior art meets all claim limitation with the exception of implementing high-energy ion beam deposition for thickening the initial conductive layer. '399 teaches implementing high-energy ion beam deposition for the conductive layer (Col. 5, II. 55-59). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to implementing high-energy ion beam deposition for thickening the initial conductive layer as this method is notoriously known in the art.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Yantorno whose telephone number is (571) 272-5918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am - 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,644

Art Unit: 2881

.15

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JY

JOHN R. LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800